

From endomorphisms to regular subgroups, (bi-)skew braces, ...

Andrea Caranti^{ac}, Lorenzo Stefanello^{ls}

Omaha, 25 May 2021

^{ac}Università degli Studi di Trento

^{ls}Università degli Studi di Pisa

Italy



L. N. Childs

Fixed-point free endomorphisms and Hopf Galois structures

Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **141** (2013), 1255–1265

Let G be a group, and $\varphi \in \text{End}(G)$ be

1. **fixed point free** ($\varphi g = g$ only for $g = 1$), and
2. **abelian** (φG is abelian, or equivalently $\varphi[G, G] = 1$).

Then the subset

$$N = \{ h \mapsto g \cdot h \cdot (\varphi g)^{-1} : g \in G \}$$

of $\text{Perm}(G)$ is a **regular subgroup** of $\text{Perm}(G)$ which is **normalised** by the image $\lambda(G)$ of the left regular representation of G .



Alan Koch

Abelian maps, bi-skew braces, and opposite pairs of Hopf-Galois structures

<https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.08967>

to appear, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*



A.C. and Lorenzo Stefanello

From endomorphisms to bi-skew braces, regular subgroups, normalising graphs, the Yang-Baxter equation, and Hopf Galois structures

<https://arxiv.org/abs/2104.01582>

Theorem (Koch)

Let ψ be an abelian endomorphism of the group G , (ψG is abelian, or equivalently $\psi[G, G] = 1$). Consider the maps

$$\nu(g) : G \rightarrow G, \quad h \mapsto g \cdot (\psi g)^{-1} \cdot h \cdot \psi g.$$

Then $N = \{ \nu(g) : g \in G \}$ is a **regular subgroup** of $\text{Perm}(G)$

- which **normalises** $\lambda(G)$, and
- **is normalised by** $\lambda(G)$.

The associated skew brace (G, \circ, \cdot) is thus a **bi-skew brace**.



L. N. Childs

Bi-skew braces and Hopf Galois structures

New York Journal of Mathematics **25** (2019), 574–588

Variation

Let ψ be an *abelian* endomorphism of the group G . Then the set $\{h \mapsto g \cdot {}^\psi g^{-1} h : g \in G\}$ is a regular subgroup of $\text{Perm}(G)$ which normalises, and is normalised by, $\lambda(G)$. (Here ${}^x h = x \cdot h \cdot x^{-1}$.)

Let ψ be an *arbitrary* endomorphism of the group G , and let $\varepsilon = \pm 1$. For $g \in G$, define $\nu(g) \in \text{Perm}(G)$ by

$$\nu(g) : h \mapsto g \cdot {}^\psi g^\varepsilon h.$$

The set $N = \{\nu(g) : g \in G\}$ is a *regular subset* of $\text{Perm}(G)$, meaning that the map

$$N \rightarrow G, \quad n \mapsto n_1$$

is a bijection, as $\nu(g)_1 = g$. Moreover, the set N normalises $\lambda(G)$.

1. When is N a subgroup?
2. When is N normalised by $\lambda(G)$?

$$\varepsilon = -1$$

Data: $N = \left\{ h \mapsto g \cdot {}^\psi g^{-1} h : g \in G \right\}$, a regular subset of $\text{Perm}(G)$ which normalises $\lambda(G)$.

Theorem ($\varepsilon = -1$)

The following are equivalent:

1. N is a subgroup of $\text{Perm}(G)$,
2. N is a regular subgroup of $\text{Perm}(G)$ which normalises $\lambda(G)$,
3. N is a regular subgroup of $\text{Perm}(G)$ which normalises, and is normalised by, $\lambda(G)$,
4. the set N is normalised by $\lambda(G)$,
5. ${}^\psi [[G, \psi], G] \leq Z(G)$, (abuse of notation: $[g, \psi] = g \cdot {}^\psi(g^{-1})$)
6. (G, \cdot, \circ) is a bi-skew brace, for $g \circ h = g \cdot {}^\psi g^{-1} h$.

Data: $N = \left\{ h \mapsto g \cdot {}^\psi g h : g \in G \right\}$, a regular subset of $\text{Perm}(G)$ which normalises $\lambda(G)$.

Theorem ($\varepsilon = 1$, first part)

The following are equivalent:

1. N is a subgroup of $\text{Perm}(G)$,
2. N is a regular subgroup of $\text{Perm}(G)$ which normalises $\lambda(G)$,
3. ${}^\psi[{}^\psi G, G] \leq Z(G)$,
4. (G, \cdot, \circ) is a skew brace, for $g \circ h = g \cdot {}^\psi g h$.

Theorem ($\varepsilon = 1$, second part)

The following are equivalent:

1. N is a regular subgroup of $\text{Perm}(G)$ which normalises, and is normalised by, $\lambda(G)$,
2. $\psi[G, G] = [\psi G, \psi G] \leq Z(G)$ ($\Rightarrow \psi G$ has nilpotence class ≤ 2),
3. (G, \cdot, \circ) is a bi-skew brace, for $g \circ h = g \cdot {}^\psi g h$.

The condition $\psi[G, G] \leq Z(G)$ of (2)

- holds for all groups of nilpotence class ≤ 2 and all of their endomorphisms, and
- is implied by Koch's condition $\psi[G, G] = 1$, and
- implies the conditions
 - $\psi[[G, \psi], G] \leq Z(G)$, and
 - $\psi[\psi G, G] \leq Z(G)$

of the previous results.



Alan Koch

Abelian maps, brace blocks, and solutions to the Yang-Baxter equation

<https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.06104>

Koch shows that if ψ is an abelian endomorphism of the group (G, \cdot) , then ψ is also an endomorphism of the group (G, \circ) , where

$$g \circ h = g \cdot {}^{\psi}g^{-1}h.$$

He is then able to iterate his construction, obtaining a sequence of group operations \circ_n on G (starting with $\circ_0 = \cdot$). such that

(G, \circ_n, \circ_m) is a (bi-)skew brace for each n, m .

Koch calls this a brace block.

Polynomials

Let G be any group, ψ be any endomorphism of G , and

$$p = a_n x^n + \cdots + a_1 x \in \mathbf{Z}[x]$$

be an integer polynomial with no constant term ($p(0) = 0$).

The map on G given by

$$g \mapsto p(\psi)g = a_n \psi^n + \cdots + a_1 \psi g = \psi^n g^{a_n} \cdots \psi g^{a_1}$$

is (not well defined and) not necessarily an endomorphism of G .

For instance

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^{2+\psi}(gh) &= \psi^2(gh) \cdot \psi(gh) = \\ &= \psi^2 g \cdot \psi^2 h \cdot \psi g \cdot \psi h = \psi^2 g \cdot \psi g \cdot [\psi g^{-1}, \psi^2 h] \cdot \psi^2 h \cdot \psi h = \\ &= \psi^{2+\psi}(g) \cdot [\psi g^{-1}, \psi^2 h] \cdot \psi^{2+\psi}(h), \end{aligned}$$

where $[a, b] = a \cdot b \cdot a^{-1} \cdot b^{-1}$.

Polynomials

We have

$$\psi^{2+\psi}(gh) = \psi^{2+\psi}g \cdot [\psi g^{-1}, \psi^2 h] \cdot \psi^{2+\psi}h,$$

But if the condition

$$\psi[G, G] = [\psi G, \psi G] \leq Z(G)$$

holds, then

$$(\psi^{2+\psi}(g \cdot h))_X = (\psi^{2+\psi}g) \cdot (\psi^{2+\psi}h)_X.$$

In general, under the condition $\psi[G, G] \leq Z(G)$,

- $x \mapsto (\rho^{(\psi)}g)_X$ is well defined, and
- $(\rho^{(\psi)}(g \cdot h))_X = (\rho^{(\psi)}g) \cdot (\rho^{(\psi)}h)_X,$

for $p \in \mathbf{Z}[x]$, $p(0) = 0$, so that...

Brace Blocks and Normalising Graphs

Theorem (Brace Blocks a.k.a. Normalising Graphs)

Let $(G, \cdot) = (G, \circ_0)$ be a group, and $\psi \in \text{End}(G, \cdot)$ satisfying

$$\psi[G, G] \leq Z(G).$$

Let $p^{(n)} \in \{p \in \mathbf{Z}[x] : p(0) = 0\}$, for $n \geq 1$.

For each $n \geq 1$, and $g, h \in G$, define recursively

$$g \circ_n h = g \circ_{n-1} (p^{(n)}(\psi)g \circ_{n-1} h \circ_{n-1} \overline{p^{(n)}(\psi)g}),$$

where \overline{a} denotes the inverse with respect to \circ_{n-1} . Then

(G, \circ_n, \circ_m) is a skew brace for all $n, m \in \mathbf{N}$.

► Skip Example

► Skip to End

An example

Let G be the free group G in three generators g_0, g_1, g_2 in the variety of groups of nilpotence class at most two; here $[G, G]$ is the free abelian group on the three generators $[g_1, g_0], [g_2, g_0], [g_2, g_1]$.

Let ψ be the endomorphism of G defined by $g_0 \mapsto g_1 \mapsto g_2 \mapsto 1$. With $p^{(n)} = x$ for all n one can see that

$$\begin{aligned} g_0 \circ_n g_0 &\equiv g_0^2 \cdot [{}^{n\psi}g_0, g_0] \pmod{\langle [g_2, g_0], [g_2, g_1] \rangle} \\ &\equiv g_0^2 \cdot [g_1, g_0]^n \pmod{\langle [g_2, g_0], [g_2, g_1] \rangle}, \end{aligned}$$

Thus all operations \circ_n are distinct, and we have an infinite brace block.

Regular subgroups and regular representations

Let $(G, 1)$ be a pointed set. Every regular subgroup $N \leq \text{Perm}(G)$ determines a group operation \circ_N on G , obtained by transport of structure from

$$N \rightarrow G, \quad n \mapsto n1.$$

For the inverse $\nu : G \rightarrow N$ of this map we have

$$\nu(g)1 = g, \quad \text{and} \quad \nu(h \circ_N g) = \nu(h)\nu(g).$$

Therefore

$$\nu(h)g = \nu(h)(\nu(g)1) = \nu(h)\nu(g)1 = \nu(h \circ_N g)1 = h \circ_N g.$$

This is a converse to Cayley's Theorem.

Brace Blocks and Normalising Graphs

Consider the *normalising graph* of the pointed set $(G, 1)$. This is the undirected graph \mathfrak{G} , first considered by Tim Kohl,

- whose vertices are the regular subgroup $N \leq \text{Perm}(G)$, and
- in which two vertices N, M are joined by an edge if N and M normalise each other.

Then a clique (complete subgraph) \mathfrak{C} in \mathfrak{G} corresponds to a brace block, where the skew braces are

$$(G, \circ_N, \circ_M), \quad \text{for } N, M \in \mathfrak{C}.$$

Thanks!

That's All, Thanks!